

## Pape, Zachary

---

**From:** Arbes, Carl  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 21, 2005 10:31 AM  
**To:** Pape, Zachary  
**Subject:** RE: Application 10816025

Hello Examiner Pape,

After speaking to Examiner Marc Jimenez, Art Unit 3726, he believes that the method claims, i.e. claims 8-14 (for restriction purposes) would be properly classified in 29/890.046. Since I do not work in this art, in the future please contact him or Eric Compton. I am certain these excellent Primaries will be able to assist you much more than I can. I examined application when applicant is claiming something which involves methods of making electrical items such as electrical components onto circuit boards or the like.

Your Primary has done you a good service for allowing you to restrict this Application. It appears that the article (or product claims i.e. claims 1-7) can be made without an attaching step such as is claimed in claims 8-14. Whether you have a third group of claims i.e. claims 21 and 22 will be up to your Primary and you to determine..

Thanks,  
Carl J. Arbes  
Senior Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 3729

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Pape, Zachary  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 20, 2005 4:35 PM  
**To:** Arbes, Carl  
**Subject:** Application 10816025

Hello Carl!

Could you please take a look at application 10816025 claims 8-14? My primary believes that these claims can be restricted out as method claims. What's your thoughts? Any ideas where?

Thanks!

**Zachary M. Pape**  
AU 2835  
Jeff-8A20  
(571)-272-2201  
Zachary.Pape@uspto.gov